USOAP INDICATORS FORMS

Date: 14 January 2024

01 – Effective Implementation

	PART A: I	NDICATOR IDENTIF	ICATION	
1. INDICATOR				
Effective Implemente	ation (EI)			
2. DESCRIPTION				
A measure of the S	tate's safety oversigh	nt capability. It is meas	ured through ICAC	O's Universal Safety
Oversight Audit Prog	gramme (USOAP) and	d calculated for each cri	tical element, audit d	area or as an overall
measure. It is expres	sed as a percentage.			
3. ICAO STRATE	GIC OBJECTIVE			
🛛 Safety	□ Capacity	Efficiency	□ Security	Environment
	PART B: I	NDICATOR SPECIFIC	CATIONS	
4. GASP OR GAN	P ELEMENT			
The 2023-2025 GAS	SP target 2.1 related	to a State's EI which i.	s directly related to	its safety oversight
capabilities.				
5. PROJECT OR I	PROGRAMME			
USOAP CMA – Prio	oritization Process			
6. INDICATOR T	YPE			
The indicator is:	🛛 activit	y-related OR	□ outcome-rela	ited
	(predic	tive or leading)	(reactive or la	agging)
7. RATIONALE	U	6/		66 6/
Compliance to ICAC	O's international stand	dards is the kev to safe a	viation activities in	States.
8. LIMITATIONS		<i></i>		
The indicator covers	s ICAO Member State	es that have undergone	at least one USOAF	P CMA audit. It also
measures the effective	ve implementation of a	a safety oversight system	<i>i. consistent with IC</i>	CAO's Standards and
Recommended Pract	tices (SARPs).			
9. DEFINITION O	DE TECHNICAL OF	R SPECIFIC TERMS		
10.CALCULATIO	N METHOD/FORM	ULA		
Total number of satisfactory POs				
	EI (%) =		x 100	
Total number of applicable POs				
PART C: DATA				
In the table below, provide information about the data supporting the measurement of the indicator.				
1. DATA SET(S)	2. AVAILABILITY*	3. DISAGGREGATION LEVEL	4. PROVIDER	5. CUSTODIAN
USOAP online	5	National	ICAO	ICAO
framework (OLF)	-			

^{*} Data availability: the listed datasets may have different levels of availability, varying from 0 for unavailable data to 5 for fully available data.

02 – State Postponement (next year)

		PART A:	INDICATOR IDENT	IFICATION	
1.	INDICATOR				
	State postponement	t of a scheduled US	SOAP CMA activity to the	he next year	
2.	DESCRIPTION				
	Binary indication a	bout State postpon	ement of a scheduled U	SOAP CMA activity	to the next year
3.	ICAO STRATEG	IC OBJECTIVE			
	🛛 Safety	□ Capacity	Efficiency	□Security	Environment
		PART B:	INDICATOR SPECI	FICATIONS	
4.	GASP OR GANP	ELEMENT			
	N/A				
5.	PROJECT OR PR	ROGRAMME			
	USOAP CMA – Pri	oritization Process	5		
6.	INDICATOR TYI	PE			
	The indicator is:	🛛 activity-r	elated OR	□ outcome-related	
		(predictive or	·leading)	(reactive or lagging)	
7.	RATIONALE				
The	e indicator helps to id	dentify the States th	at, despite being priorit	ized, presented valia	l reasons to postpone
the	activity.				
8.	LIMITATIONS				
	The indicator appli	es only to ICAO M	ember States that have a	been selected for an	USOAP Activity and
	requested a postpor	nement.			
9.	DEFINITION OF	TECHNICAL O	R SPECIFIC TERMS		
10	CALCULATION	ΜΕΤΠΟΡ/ΕΟΡΙ			
10.		MEINUD/FUKN	IULA		
	I - Ies				
	0 - NO				
	ΡΑΡΤ () ΝΑΤΑ				
	In the table below, provide information about the data supporting the measurement of the indicator.				
	1. DATA SET(S)	2. AVAILABILITY	3. DISAGGREGATION LEVEL	4. PROVIDER	5. CUSTODIAN
	USOAP Online	5	National	ICAO	ICAO
	Framework				
	(OLF)				

03 – State Postponement (no date)

	PART A: I	NDICATOR IDENTIF	ICATION	
1. INDICATOR				
State postponement of	of a scheduled USOA	P CMA activity without	a new confirmed date	2.
2. DESCRIPTION	V			
Binary indication ab	out State postponeme	ent of a scheduled USOA	P CMA activity witho	out a new confirmed
date.				
3. ICAO STRATE	GIC OBJECTIVE			
🛛 Safety	□ Capacity	□ Efficiency	□ Security	Environment
	PART B: I	NDICATOR SPECIFI	CATIONS	
4. GASP OR GAN	IP ELEMENT			
N/A				
5. PROJECT OR	PROGRAMME			
USOAP CMA – Prio	ritization Process			
6. INDICATOR T	YPE			
The indicator is:	🛛 activit	ty-related OR	outcome-relat	ed
	(predie	ctive or leading)	(reactive or lag	gging)
7. RATIONALE				
The indicator helps t	o identify the States th	hat, despite being priorit	ized, presented valid	reasons to postpone
the activity.				
8. LIMITATIONS	5			
The indicator applie	es only to ICAO Men	nber States that have be	een selected for an U	SOAP Activity and
requested a postpone	ement.			
9. DEFINITION (OF TECHNICAL O	R SPECIFIC TERMS		
10. CALCULATION METHOD/FORMULA				
I - Yes				
0 - No				
PART C: DATA				
In the table below, provide information about the data supporting the measurement of the indicator.				
1. DATA SET(S)	2. AVAILABILITY	3. DISAGGREGATION LEVEL	4. PROVIDER	5. CUSTODIAN
USOAP Online	5	National	ICAO	ICAO
Framework (OLF)				

04 – Date of Last Audit

	PART A: INDICATOR IDENTIFICATION			
1. INDICATOR				
Days since Last Aud	'it.			
2. DESCRIPTION	-			
Days occurred betw	een the last Audit Dat	te and the 1 st of January	of the current year	
3. ICAO STRATE	GIC OBJECTIVE			
🛛 Safety	□ Capacity	□ Efficiency	□ Security	Environment
	PART B: I	NDICATOR SPECIFI	CATIONS	
4. GASP OR GAN	P ELEMENT			
5. PROJECT OR	PROGRAMME			
USOAP CMA – Pric	oritization Process			
6. INDICATOR T	YPE			
The indicator is:	🛛 activit	y-related OR	outcome-relation	ated
	(predic	ctive or leading)	(reactive or la	agging)
7. RATIONALE				
The indicator serves	as a guidance about	the time past between th	e last audit and the	present.
8. LIMITATIONS				
The indicator applie	s to the last Audit tha	t the ICAO Member Stat	te received.	
9. DEFINITION C	OF TECHNICAL OF	R SPECIFIC TERMS		
IU.CALCULATION METHOD/FORMULA				
January 1°, current year – Date of Last Auati				
ΡΑΡΤ ()· ΝΑΤΑ				
raki C; DATA				
In the table below, provide information about the data supporting the measurement of the indicator.				
1. DATA SET(S)	2. AVAILABILITY	3. DISAGGREGATION LEVEL	4. PROVIDER	5. CUSTODIAN
USOAP Online	5	National	ICAO	ICAO
Framework (OLF)				

05 – State no audited

	PART A: INDICATOR IDENTIFICATION			
1. INDICATOR				
State Never Audited				
2. DESCRIPTION	[
Binary indication al	out State that has nev	ver been audited.		
3. ICAO STRATE	GIC OBJECTIVE			
🛛 Safety	□ Capacity	□ Efficiency	□ Security	Environment
	PART B: I	NDICATOR SPECIFI	CATIONS	
4. GASP OR GAN	P ELEMENT			
5. PROJECT OR	PROGRAMME			
USOAP CMA – Pric	pritization Process			
6. INDICATOR T	YPE			
The indicator is:	🛛 activit	ty-related OR	outcome-relation	ated
	(predie	ctive or leading)	(reactive or la	agging)
7. RATIONALE				
The indicator identi	fies the States that hav	ve never been audited.		
8. LIMITATIONS) 			
The reasons for not	being audited are out	of the CMA's managem	ent. i.e.: UNDSS res	strictions
9. DEFINITION C	OF TECHNICAL OF	X SPECIFIC TERMS		
	N METHOD/FODV			
	N MEINUD/FURM	IULA		
1 - 1cs $0 N_0$				
ΡΑΡΤ C· DΑΤΑ				
IAKI C. DAIA				
In the table below, provide information about the data supporting the measurement of the indicator.				
1 DATA SET(5)	2 AVAILABILITY	3. DISAGGREGATION	A PROVIDED	5 CUSTODIAN
1. DATA SET(S)	2. AVAILADILITY	LEVEL	7. I KUVIDEK	5. CUSTODIAN
USOAP Online	5	National	ICAO	ICAO
Framework (OLF)				

06 – Unresolved SSC

	PART A: INDICATOR IDENTIFICATION				
1. INDICATOR					
Unresolved SSC					
2. DESCRIPTION					
Binary indication ab	oout unresolved SSC t	hat the State has			
3. ICAO STRATE	GIC OBJECTIVE				
🛛 Safety	□ Capacity	□ Efficiency	□ Security	Environment	
	PART B: II	NDICATOR SPECIFI	CATIONS		
4. GASP OR GAN	P ELEMENT				
N/A					
5. PROJECT OR	PROGRAMME				
USOAP CMA – Pric	oritization Process.				
6. INDICATOR T	YPE				
The indicator is:	🛛 activit	ty-related OR	outcome-relation	ated	
	(predie	ctive or leading)	(reactive or l	agging)	
7. RATIONALE					
The indicator identij	fies the States that hav	ve pending SSCs to resol	lve.		
8. LIMITATIONS					
9. DEFINITION C	OF TECHNICAL OF	SPECIFIC TERMS			
10.CALCULATIO	N METHOD/FORM	IULA			
I - Ies					
ΡΑΡΤ () ΝΑΤΑ					
PAKI C: DATA					
In the table below, provide information about the data supporting the measurement of the indicator.					
1. DATA SET(S)	2. AVAILABILITY	3. DISAGGREGATION LEVEL	4. PROVIDER	5. CUSTODIAN	
USOAP Online	5	National	ICAO	ICAO	
Framework (OLF)					

07 – Resolved SSC

	PART A: INDICATOR IDENTIFICATION				
1. INDICATOR					
Resolved SSC					
2. DESCRIPTION	[
Binary indication ab	out resolved SSC(s) t	hat the State has achieve	ed, through mitigati	ng measures	
			, 0 0	0	
3. ICAO STRATE	GIC OBJECTIVE				
⊠ Safety	□ Capacity	□ Efficiency	□ Security	Environment	
	PART B: II	NDICATOR SPECIFIC	CATIONS		
4. GASP OR GAN	P ELEMENT				
N/A					
5. PROJECT OR	PROGRAMME				
USOAP CMA – Pric	oritization Process				
6. INDICATOR T	YPE				
The indicator is:	🛛 activit	ty-related OR	□ outcome-rela	ated	
	(predi-	ctive or leading)	(reactive or l	agging)	
7. RATIONALE	<u> </u>	6/	X		
The indicator shows	the States that have r	esolved SSCs.			
8. LIMITATIONS					
9. DEFINITION C	OF TECHNICAL OF	R SPECIFIC TERMS			
10.CALCULATIO	N METHOD/FORM	IULA			
1 - Yes					
0 - No					
PART C: DATA					
In the table below, provide information about the data supporting the measurement of the indicator.					
1. DATA SET(S)	2. AVAILABILITY	3. DISAGGREGATION LEVEL	4. PROVIDER	5. CUSTODIAN	
USOAP Online	5	National	ICAO	ICAO	
Framework (OLF)					

08 – Accidents Ratio – SoOc

	PART A: INDICATOR IDENTIFICATION				
1. INDICATOR					
Accidents Rate SoO	c - AVG				
2. DESCRIPTION					
Average accident ra	te of the State of Occi	<i>irrence over the past 5 y</i>	vears		
3. ICAO STRATE	GIC OBJECTIVE				
Safety	□ Capacity	Efficiency	□ Security	□ Environment	
	PART B: I	NDICATOR SPECIFI	CATIONS		
4. GASP OR GAN	P ELEMENT				
N/A					
5. PROJECT OR	PROGRAMME				
OSOAP CMA - Price	ornization Process				
6 INDICATOR T	VPF				
The indicator is:		v-related OR	🗖 outcome_rels	ated	
	(predi	ctive or leading)	(reactive or l	agging)	
7 RATIONALE	(preut		(redetive of h		
8. LIMITATIONS	•				
9. DEFINITION C	DF TECHNICAL OF	R SPECIFIC TERMS			
10.CALCULATION METHOD/FORMULA					
		Total number of accide	ents vear (x)		
	a) Accident rate y	$ear_x = \frac{1}{\text{Total number of depart}}$	$\frac{1}{1}$ where $\frac{1}{1}$ and $\frac{1}{1}$ are $\frac{1}{1}$ and		
Accident rate $year_{(x)} + Accident rate year_{(x-1)} + Accident rate year_{(x-2)}$					
b) AVG_Accident rate year _x = $\frac{+\text{Accident rate year}_{(x-3)} + \text{Accident rate year}_{(x-4)}}{r}$					
5					
PART C: DATA					
In the table below, provide information about the date supporting the manufacturement of the indicator					
In the table below, provide information about the data supporting the measurement of the indicator.					
1. DATA SET(S)	2. AVAILABILITY	3. DISAGGREGATION	4. PROVIDER	5. CUSTODIAN	
Aggidanta	5	LEVEL National	ICAO at 1 ESE	ICAO and ESE	
Traffia	5 5	National	ICAO and FSF	ICAO and FSF	
1 rainc	3	Inational	ICAU	ICAU	

09 a – Annex A – EU Safety List

	PART A. INDICATOR IDENTIFICATION				
1. INDICATOR					
Annex A EU Safety I	List				
2. DESCRIPTION					
Binary indication al	bout the State record	ed into the Annex A of I	EU Safety List: Stat	es and air operators	
banned by the EU A	ir Safety List	5	5 5	1	
3. ICAO STRATE	GIC OBJECTIVE				
⊠ Safety	□ Capacity	□ Efficiency	□ Security	Environment	
	PART B: II	NDICATOR SPECIFIC	CATIONS		
4. GASP OR GAN	P ELEMENT				
N/A					
5. PROJECT OR I	PROGRAMME				
USOAP CMA – Prio	oritization Process				
6. INDICATOR T	YPE				
The indicator is:	🛛 activit	ty-related OR	□ outcome-rela	ited	
	(predie	ctive or leading)	(reactive or la	agging)	
7. RATIONALE		•			
8. LIMITATIONS					
9. DEFINITION O	F TECHNICAL OF	R SPECIFIC TERMS			
10.CALCULATIO	N METHOD/FORM	IULA			
1 - Yes					
0 - No					
PART C: DATA					
In the table below, provide information about the data supporting the measurement of the indicator.					
1. DATA SET(S)	2. AVAILABILITY	3. DISAGGREGATION LEVEL	4. PROVIDER	5. CUSTODIAN	
EU Safety list -	5	National	EC	EC	
website	-				

09 b – Annex B – EU Safety List

	PART A: INDICATOR IDENTIFICATION				
1. INDICATOR					
Annex B EU Safety I	List				
2. DESCRIPTION					
Binary indication al	bout the State record	ed into the Annex B of I	EU Safety List: Air	operators subject to	
operational restriction	ons				
3. ICAO STRATE	GIC OBJECTIVE				
🛛 Safety	□ Capacity	□ Efficiency	□ Security	Environment	
·	PART B: II	NDICATOR SPECIFIC	CATIONS		
4. GASP OR GAN	P ELEMENT				
5. PROJECT OR I	PROGRAMME				
USOAP CMA – Prio	ritization Process				
6. INDICATOR T	YPE				
The indicator is:	🛛 activit	ty-related OR	□ outcome-rela	ated	
	(predie	ctive or leading)	(reactive or la	agging)	
7. RATIONALE	**		\$		
8. LIMITATIONS					
9. DEFINITION O	F TECHNICAL OF	R SPECIFIC TERMS			
10.CALCULATIO	N METHOD/FORM	IULA			
1 - Yes					
0 - No					
PART C: DATA					
In the table below, provide information about the data supporting the measurement of the indicator.					
1. DATA SET(S)	2. AVAILABILITY	3. DISAGGREGATION LEVEL	4. PROVIDER	5. CUSTODIAN	
EU Safetv list -	5	National	EC	EC	
website					

10 – IASA Programme Cat 1/2

	PART A: II	NDICATOR IDENTIFIC	CATION		
1. INDICATOR					
FAA IASA Program	me Cat 2				
2. DESCRIPTION					
Binary indication ab	out the State States q	ualified as a Category 2 b	y the IASA progra	imme	
3. ICAO STRATE	GIC OBJECTIVE				
🛛 Safety	□ Capacity	□ Efficiency [☐ Security	Environment	
	PART B: II	NDICATOR SPECIFICA	ATIONS		
4. GASP OR GAN	P ELEMENT				
N/A.					
5. PROJECT OR	PROGRAMME				
USOAP CMA – Pric	pritization Process				
6 INDICATOR T	VDE				
The indicator is:		ry malatad OD		atad	
The indicator is.	activit	y-related OK	(reactive or l	aled	
7 RATIONALE	(predic	clive of leading)	(leactive of h	agging)	
The indicator identit	fies States inspected u	nder IASA programme an	d are the assessme	ents conducted under	
this program are ali	oned with ICAO Stan	dards	a are me assessme	chis conducted under	
8. LIMITATIONS					
9. DEFINITION C	F TECHNICAL OF	R SPECIFIC TERMS			
10.CALCULATIO	N METHOD/FORM	IULA			
l-Yes					
0 - No					
PART C: DATA					
In the table below, provide information about the data supporting the measurement of the indicator.					
1. DATA SET(S)	2. AVAILABILITY	3. DISAGGREGATION LEVEL	4. PROVIDER	5. CUSTODIAN	
FAA – IASA	5	National	FAA	FAA	
Programme					
website					

11 – WGI

	PART A: I	NDICATOR IDENTIF	ICATION	
1. INDICATOR				
Worldwide Governat	nce Indicators (WGI)			
2. DESCRIPTION				
Average of Political	Stability and Absenc	e of Violence/Terrorism	, Government Effec	tiveness and Control
of Corruption of the	last 5 years			
3. ICAO STRATE	GIC OBJECTIVE			
🛛 Safety	□ Capacity	□ Efficiency	Security	□ Environment
	PART B: II	NDICATOR SPECIFIC	CATIONS	
4. GASP OR GAN	P ELEMENT			
N/A.				
5. PROJECT OR H	PROGRAMME			
USOAP CMA – Prio	ritization Process			
6. INDICATOR T	YPE			
The indicator is:	🛛 activit	ty-related OR	□ outcome-rela	ated
	(predie	ctive or leading)	(reactive or la	agging)
7. RATIONALE		•	\$	
8. LIMITATIONS				
9. DEFINITION O	F TECHNICAL OF	R SPECIFIC TERMS		
10.CALCULATIO	N METHOD/FORM	IULA		
	41	C Dolitical Stability and Abcond	o of Violonco voor	
	AV	AVG Government Effective	eness vear $(x + to x)$ +	τ T
AVG_control of Couruption year $_{(x-4 \text{ to } x)}$				
$AVG_WGI year_{(x-4 to x)} = \frac{1}{3}$				
PART C: DATA				
In the table below, provide information about the data supporting the measurement of the indicator.				
1. DATA SET(S)	2. AVAILABILITY	3. DISAGGREGATION LEVEL	4. PROVIDER	5. CUSTODIAN
WGI Website	5	National	WGI	WGI

12 – Traffic volume

PART A: INDICATOR IDENTIFICATION				
1. INDICATOR				
Traffic Volume				
2. DESCRIPTION	[
Average number of a	annual departures pe	r State of the last 5 years	J.	
3. ICAO STRATE	GIC OBJECTIVE			
🛛 Safety	🛛 Capacity	Efficiency	Security	Environment
	PART B: I	NDICATOR SPECIFIC	CATIONS	
4. GASP OR GAN	P ELEMENT			
N/A				
5. PROJECT OR	PROGRAMME			
USOAP CMA – Pric	pritization Process			
6. INDICATOR T	YPE			
The indicator is:	activi	ty-related OR	outcome-rela	ated
	(predi	ictive or leading)	(reactive or la	agging)
7. RATIONALE				
The indicator shows	the volume of depart	tures per State		
8. LIMITATIONS				
9. DEFINITION C	OF TECHNICAL O	R SPECIFIC TERMS		
10.CALCULATION METHOD/FORMULA				
Departures year _(x) + Departures year _(x-1) + Departures year _(x-2)				
+Departures year _(x-3) + Departures year _(x-4)				
Ave Traffic volume year _x = $\frac{5}{5}$				
PART C: DATA				
In the table below, provide information about the data supporting the measurement of the indicator.				
71		3 DISACCOECATION		
1. DATA SET(S)	2. AVAILABILITY	J. DISAGGREGATION LEVEL	4. PROVIDER	5. CUSTODIAN
ICAO API -	5	National	ICAO	ICAO
Traffic				

13 – Traffic variation

	PART A: INDICATOR IDENTIFICATION				
1. INDICATOR					
Traffic Variation					
2. DESCRIPTION	N				
Average of the annu	ial State traffic variat	ion of the last 5 years			
3. ICAO STRATE	EGIC OBJECTIVE				
🛛 Safety	🛛 Capacity	Efficiency	Security	Environment	
	PART B: I	NDICATOR SPECIFI	CATIONS		
4. GASP OR GAN	IP ELEMENT				
5. PROJECT OR	PROGRAMME				
USOAP CMA – Prie	oritization Process				
6. INDICATOR T	YPE				
The indicator is:	\Box activit	ty-related OR	🛛 outcome-rela	ited	
	(pred	ictive or leading)	(reactive or la	agging)	
7. RATIONALE	•	~~~	\$		
8. LIMITATIONS	5				
9. DEFINITION (OF TECHNICAL O	R SPECIFIC TERMS			
10. CALCULATIO	ON METHOD/FOR	MULA			
	raffic variation you	$- \left[\frac{Departures year_{(x)}}{1} - 1 \right]$			
a) Traffic variation year $_{x} = \left[\frac{1}{Departures year_{(x-1)}} - 1\right]$					
Traffic variation year _(x) + Traffic variation year _(x-1) + Traffic variation year _(x-2)					
b) AVG_Traffic variation year _x = $+11711100000000000000000000000000000000$					
PART C: DATA					
In the table below, provide information about the data supporting the measurement of the indicator.					
1. DATA SET(S)	2. AVAILABILITY	13. DISAGGREGATION LEVEL	3. PROVIDER	4. CUSTODIAN	
ICAO API -	5	National	ICAO	ICAO	
Traffic					

14 – Stakeholders Ramp Inspection Programs

PART A: INDICATOR IDENTIFICATION				
1. INDICATOR				
Ramp Inspection Pre	ogramme's participat	tion		
2. DESCRIPTION				
Indication of Operat	tors that received a ra	imp inspection, aggrega	ted by State	
3. ICAO STRATE	GIC OBJECTIVE			
🛛 Safety	□ Capacity	□ Efficiency	□ Security	Environment
	PART B: I	NDICATOR SPECIFIC	CATIONS	
4. GASP OR GAN <i>N/A</i>	P ELEMENT			
5. PROJECT OR	PROGRAMME			
USOAP CMA – Pric	pritization sequencing	process		
6. INDICATOR T	YPE			
The indicator is:	□ activit	ty-related OR	🛛 outcome-rela	ated
	(predi	ctive or leading)	(reactive or l	agging)
7. RATIONALE			·	
The data is used in	prioritization sequend	cing process to determin	ie which Member S	tates receive priority
for audit planning p	urposes.			
8. LIMITATIONS				
The number of inspe	ection program varies	s from zero (no-inspectio	on) to two.	
9. DEFINITION OF TECHNICAL OR SPECIFIC TERMS				
10.CALCULATIO	N METHOD/FORM	IULA		
Per inspection progr	ramme and State			
1 - Yes				
0 - No				
		PART C: DATA		
In the table below, provide information about the data supporting the measurement of the indicator.				
1. DATA SET(S)	2. AVAILABILIT Y	3. DISAGGREGATION LEVEL	4. PROVIDER	5. CUSTODIAN
SAFA Inspections	5	State	EASA	EASA
– Aggregated by				
State of Operator				
SACA Inspections	5	State	EASA	EASA
– Aggregated by				
State of Operator	-			
FAA Ramp	5	State	FAA	FAA
Inspections of				
Foreign Carriers –				
Aggregated by State of Operator				
State of Operator				

15 – IOSA Inspection Program

PART A: INDICATOR IDENTIFICATION				
1. INDICATOR				
% of IOSA Members	hip			
2. DESCRIPTION				
Operators within IO	SA Inspection Program	mme. It is the Number of	IOSA operators vs t	he number of aircraft
operators in a State.	It is expressed in per	centage.		
3. ICAO STRATE	GIC OBJECTIVE		_	_
Safety	□ Capacity	□ Efficiency	□ Security	Environment
	PART B: II	NDICATOR SPECIFI	CATIONS	
4. GASP OR GAN <i>N/A</i>	P ELEMENT			
5. PROJECT OR I	PROGRAMME			
USOAP – Prioritizat	tion Process			
6. INDICATOR T	YPE			
The indicator is:	□ activit	ty-related OR	🛛 outcome-rela	ated
	(predie	ctive or leading)	(reactive or la	agging)
7. RATIONALE				
The data is used in	prioritization sequer	ncing to determine which	ch Member States s	hould be prioritized
during the audit plan	nning process.			
8. LIMITATIONS				
The indicator measu	res the percentage of	air operators who parti	cipate in inspection	programs
9. DEFINITION O	F TECHNICAL OF	R SPECIFIC TERMS		
IN/A				
10 CALCULATIO	N METHOD/FORM			
At the State level:		IULA		
Ai the State level.		Total number of I	OSA Operators	
	% IOSA membes	$hip = \frac{1}{Total number od Ai}$	craft Operators	
			<i>y</i> 1	
PART C: DATA				
In the table below, provide information about the data supporting the measurement of the indicator.				
	2. AVAILABILIT	3. DISAGGREGATIO		
1. DATA SET(S)	Y	N I EVEI	4. PROVIDER	5. CUSTODIAN
IOSA Program	5	National	ΙΔΤΔ - ΙΟΣΔ	ΙΔΤΔ - ΙΟΣΔ
Registry	5		Programme	Programme
Doc 8585	5	National	ICAO	ICAO
Designators for	-			10110
Aircraft Onerating				
Agencies.				
Aeronautical				
Authorities and				
Services				